

OPT-MVAC ReSaG 2 – Summary Note

Theme: Pharmacovigilance and surveillance of AEFIs related to malaria vaccines (RTS,S / R21)

Webinar recording: (password: 3*97JXaR)

Period: May → October 2025

Countries reviewed in depth: Benin – Burkina Faso – Ghana

Objective: to share the findings of Report 2, compare national approaches, and identify pharmacovigilance (PV) priorities to strengthen.

1) Key highlights (Report 2 – RCC)

- **Data sources:** VigiBase + OPT-MVAC dashboard (administered doses).
- **Top 3 countries by number of reports:** Benin, Ghana, Burkina Faso.
- **Reporter profile:** the majority of reports are submitted by non-physician health workers, which may limit clinical quality (diagnosis, investigations, level of detail).
- **Frequently reported symptoms:** fever, gastrointestinal disorders, local reactions.
- **Focus on serious cases:** deaths have been reported (mainly in Ghana and Burkina Faso in the dataset reviewed).
- **Limitation:** WHO causality assessment / medico-legal assessment is often missing or incomplete → highlighting the need for more robust investigations.

2) Country summaries

BJ Benin

- **37 AEFIs** (during the period)
 - 35 non-serious, 2 serious, 0 deaths.
- **Main symptoms:** fever, with some cases of cough, vomiting, diarrhoea, and convulsions.
- **Organisation:** reporting at health facility level with data entry via focal points (district → national).
- **Limitation:** WHO causality assessment not yet finalised (incomplete files / committee pending).

BF Burkina Faso

- **Mixed system:** Med Safety-type application plus paper-based reporting.
- **Strong emphasis on sensitisation:** some “common” adverse events tend to be normalised → under-reporting risk.

- **Serious case/death discussed:** possible co-exposure context (e.g. SMC: SP + amodiaquine); no autopsy performed; diagnosis retained by the committee: gastroenteritis; causality assessed as coincidental.

GH Ghana

- **RTS,S:** introduced in 2019; **R21:** introduced in the last quarter of the previous year.
- **R21 surveillance:** strong active surveillance component (Cohort Event Monitoring).
- **Data May–Oct 2025:** 96 R21 reports
 - 89 active, 7 passive
 - **Symptoms:** fever, diarrhoea, vomiting
 - **3 serious cases** (hospitalisation): mostly assessed as coincidental, except for one product-related local reaction.
- **Limitations:** incomplete clinical files and delayed investigations → difficult causality assessment.

3) Main technical discussion: “Malaria” after vaccination

- **Key debate:** can malaria be reported as an AEFI?
 - **Position 1:** malaria is an independent disease → not a vaccine “effect”.
 - **Position 2:** malaria may be reported as an event if confirmed, then classified after investigation (coincidental / vaccine failure / other).
- **Sensitive issue:** very early malaria cases (Day 0–Day 25) → may reflect prior exposure, incomplete immunity (incomplete series), or reporting quality issues.
- **Consensus:** investigation, timeline, doses received, and context are required before interpretation.

4) Methodological reminders (Ghita Benabdallah)

- **PV chain:** detection → reporting → investigation → WHO causality assessment → communication.
- **Critical elements:**
 - confirmed diagnosis (clinical + laboratory investigations),
 - chronology (time to onset, dose administered),
 - completeness of serious case files (including deaths, autopsy or verbal autopsy where possible).
- **Objective:** reduce false signals and maintain confidence in vaccination.

5) Next steps (questionnaire & action plan)

- A questionnaire will be sent to countries to prioritise PV actions (budget optimisation, field needs).
- **Indicative timeline:**
 - questionnaire sent: shortly
 - responses due: mid-January
 - recommendations validated: consortium
 - implementation start: March
- Vaccinovigilance/causality training is already planned by the consortium and should be integrated into country planning.

6) Proposed actions (to be followed up)

1. Strengthen quality and completeness of serious case documentation (diagnosis, investigations, chronology, doses).
2. Harmonise management of post-vaccination malaria cases (reporting vs classification).
3. Reduce investigation timelines (especially for deaths and hospitalisations).
4. Promote digital tools (e.g. Med Safety-type applications) with field-level support.
5. Link vaccination data (doses administered) with AEFI data to better characterise safety profiles.